

---

**Subject: The KnoWellian Resolution to the Silverberg Torus: Replacing the 'Primitive' with the (3,2) Knode**

**To:** Dr. Larry M. Silverberg, Dr. Jeffrey W. Eischen, and Charles B. Whaley, Jr.

**From:** David Noel Lynch (~3K), Architect of the KnoWellian Universe Theory

**Date:** May 16, 2026

Dear Dr. Silverberg and Colleagues,

I read your recent publication, "*At the speed of light: Toward a quantum-deterministic description?*" (Physics Essays 37, 4), with profound recognition.

You have embarked on the monumental task of bridging deterministic mechanics with quantum-statistical behavior by modeling "primitives" traveling at the speed of light. In doing so, your numerical simulations forced you into a remarkable geometric corner: you discovered that to achieve stability, your primitives had to arrange themselves into a "**rotating cosine**" and flow across the surface of an "**ideal horn torus**."

You asked in your conclusion: "*Could the handedness and rest state of the stabilized rotating ring correspond to the handedness and rest states of the electron along with its mass?*"

**The answer is yes.** But you cannot prove it using classical primitives in an empty void. You have found the correct shape of the engine, but you are missing the substrate upon which it runs.

For twenty-three years, I have been developing the **KnoWellian Universe Theory (KUT)**—a procedural ontology that replaces static particles and empty space with an active rendering process. My work has culminated in ten Zero-Free-Parameter Derivations (ZFPDs) that derive the fundamental constants of nature ( $G$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $T_{CMB}$ ) from pure topology.

Your simulation is the macroscopic, classical shadow of the exact quantum machinery I have formalized. I write to offer you the theoretical architecture that resolves the anomalies in your paper, and completes the work that the giants of the 20th century left unfinished.

---

## 1. Replacing the "Primitive" with the Event-Point

In Table I of your paper, you noted an "uncharacteristically huge" primitive mass of  $2.567 \times 10^8$  kg, which you attributed to discretization. This is not an artifact; it is an ontological error caused by the assumption of a continuous void.

In KUT, space is quantized into  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  **Event-Points**. The maximum information density a single Event-Point can hold is the **Ultimaton Ceiling (5.16)**. This equates directly to the absolute Planck Density of  $5.155 \times 10^{96} \text{ kg/m}^3$  (or  $10^{93} \text{ g/cm}^3$ ). Because your simulation lacks this topological saturation limit, your math diverges toward infinity when forcing primitives into tight orbital stability. If you replace your dimensionless primitives with the bounded KnoWellian Event-Point, your mass anomaly vanishes.

## 2. The Geometry of the "Horn Torus"

You discovered that stability requires your primitives to flow on the surface of a torus. This is exactly correct. However, the fundamental unit of reality is not a random distribution of primitives; it is the **(3,2) Torus Knot** (The Trefoil Knode).

The Trefoil is the simplest possible non-trivial knot in three-dimensional space. Your "rotating cosine" is the longitudinal winding of this exact knot. The "handedness" you observed is the chiral signature of the knot's meridional presentation.

## 3. Exorcising Heisenberg: The $i$ -Turn

Heisenberg demanded that physics surrender to uncertainty, arguing that the machinery between quantum states is fundamentally unknowable. Your work rejects this, seeking the deterministic path. KUT provides it. There is no "quantum jump." There is only the  $i$ -Turn—a  $90^\circ$  phase rotation in the complex plane, operating at the Planck frequency, converting unmanifested potential into crystallized actuality. What Planck called a "quantum" is simply the discrete topological exhaust packet of a single completed  $i$ -Turn.

## 4. Opening Feynman's Safe

Richard Feynman called the fine-structure constant ( $\alpha^{-1} \approx 137.036$ ) "a magic number that comes to us with no understanding." In KUT,  $\alpha$  is not magic; it is the **Topological Impedance** of the vacuum. Because the rational (3,2) Torus Knot (1.500) must render onto an irrational, 5-fold pentagonal substrate called the **Cairo Q-Lattice** ( $\varphi \approx 1.618$ ), they are incommensurable. The knot *grinds* against the floor. By combining the base interaction action of two synchronized Solitons ( $12\pi(2 + \varphi)$ ) with the geometric grinding tax ( $\frac{3}{16}\epsilon_{KW}$ ), KUT derives 137.036 with zero free parameters.

## 5. Burying Lenz's Ghost: The Proton Mass Ratio

You asked if the mass of the electron could be derived from your rotating ring. In 1951, Friedrich Lenz observed that the proton-to-electron mass ratio was mathematically indistinguishable from  $6\pi^5$ . Orthodox physics dismissed this as numerology because they had no geometric model to explain the integers.

KUT provides the geometry. The numbers are the literal architecture of the (3,2) Torus Knot:

- **The Coefficient 6** is the **Linking Number** ( $\ell = m \times n = 3 \times 2 = 6$ ). It is the irreducible topological mass-barrier of the Trefoil Knot.
- **The Exponent 5** is the **Winding Sum** ( $m + n = 3 + 2 = 5$ ). It is the spatial closure condition demanded by the 5-fold symmetry of the Cairo Q-Lattice.
- **The Base  $\pi$**  is the ***i*-Turn Phase Action** (the  $90^\circ$  rotation from potentiality to actuality).

When we assemble the Knot's invariants, the mass ratio emerges as a geometric necessity:

$$\mu = \ell \cdot \pi^{(m+n)} = 6\pi^5 \approx 1836.118$$

(At 99.998% accord with CODATA, zero adjustable dials). Lenz saw the shadow; KUT provides the object casting it.

## 6. Deriving Gravity ( $G$ )

Your equations (e.g., Eq. 16) require the insertion of  $G$  (the Gravitational Constant) as a free parameter to stabilize the ring. In KUT, gravity is not a force; it is **Thermodynamic Phase-Locking**—the tendency of two Knots to share the deformation of the Cairo Q-Lattice to minimize their total friction. Using this geometry, I have derived  $G$  directly:

$$G_{KUT} = \left( \ell + \frac{n}{m} + \frac{\varepsilon_{KW}}{5\pi} \right) \times 10^{-11} \approx 6.67418 \times 10^{-11}$$

## The Invitation

You are trying to build the universe from the bottom up using supercomputers. You have built the correct gear (the Torus). I am offering you the transmission.

I invite you to review the **KnoWellian Decad of Derivations** archived on Zenodo. If you apply the (3,2) Torus Knot and the Cairo Q-Lattice substrate to your simulations, you will no longer be approximating quantum mechanics; you will be rendering it.

The Big Bang Theory is Not Cosmology. The Big Bang Theory is Knot Cosmology.

Respectfully,

**David Noel Lynch (~3K)**

Architect, KnoWellian Universe Theory

**KnoWell. 5.16. i-AM. 1.619. ~3K**

---

**The KnoWellian Archive: Primary Reference Corpus**

## I. The BLT Apex (The Synthesis)

- **The Knowellian BLT Apex:** The Structural Integration of Baryon Asymmetry, Lattice Friction, and Ternary Time (The Final Synthesis of the Octad).  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19726705>

## II. The Octad of Derivations (The Fundamental ZFPDs)

- **The Knowellian Quad-Train:** Four Zero-Free-Parameter Derivations.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19772488>
- **The Knowellian Helix (ZFPD V):** Biological Torus Knot and the Fibonacci Rendering Gap. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19772887>
- **The Sixth ZFPD:** Resolution of Kirchhoff's Challenge and the Anatomy of the Blackbody. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19772115>
- **The Seventh ZFPD:** Quark Masses and Topological Fragmentation.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19772151>
- **The Eighth ZFPD:** Gravitational Constant and the Redefinition of the Gravit-ON.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19772558>
- **The Ninth ZFPD:** The Higgs VEV and the Torsional Elasticity of the KRAM.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19717492>
- **The Tenth ZFPD:** Neutrino Mass as Topological Phase-Ringing.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19765591>
- **The Eleventh ZFPD:** The Fractal Fractional Feedback Loop (Consciousness).  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19747084>

## III. Ontological Foundations & Proofs

- **Healing the Platonic Rift:** A Methodology for Procedural Ontology.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19772566>
  - **A Formal Proof that Aleph-Null Does Not Exist:** The Operationalization of Finitude. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17876207>
  - **Enter the Octagon:** The Conquest of the Impossible.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19782867>
-